

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,209	10/16/2006	Samuel Waksal	11245/48902	2944
<sup>26646</sup> KENYON & K	7590 04/04/2007 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			AEDER, SEAN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/560,209	WAKSAL, SAMUEL		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sean E. Aeder, Ph.D.	1642		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/16/06.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	•		
5)	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or expenses  On Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	wn from consideration. election requirement. er. epted or b) □ objected to by the B			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/560,209

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

It is noted that the claims of the instant application have been determined to include linking claims. Claim 1 link(s) inventions I-IV, as set forth below. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/ are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Group I, claim(s) 2-5 and 11, as specifically drawn to a method of inhibiting a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) in a mammal comprising administering an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist to the mammal, wherein said RTK is EGFR.

Group II, claim(s) 6, 7, and 11, as specifically drawn to a method of inhibiting a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) in a mammal comprising administering an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist to the mammal, wherein said RTK is a HER2 receptor.

Group III, claim(s) 8, 9, and 11, as specifically drawn to a method of inhibiting a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) in a mammal comprising administering an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist to the mammal, wherein said RTK is VEGFR.

Group IV, claim(s) 10-11, as specifically drawn to a method of inhibiting a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) in a mammal comprising administering an extracellular RTK

Art Unit: 1642

antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist to the mammal, wherein said RTK is RAS or a RAS-Raf modulator.

It is noted that the claims of the instant application have been determined to include linking claims. Claim 12 link(s) inventions V-VIII, as set forth below. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 12. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/ are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Group V, claim(s) 13-15 and 21, as specifically drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist wherein said RTK is EGFR.

Group VI, claim(s) 16, 17, and 21, as specifically drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist wherein said RTK is a HER2 receptor.

Group VII, claim(s) 18, 19, and 21, as specifically drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist wherein said RTK is VEGFR.

Group VIII, claim(s) 20-21, as specifically drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist wherein said RTK is RAS protein or a RAS-Raf modulator.

The inventions listed as groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking groups I-VIII appears to be that they all relate to the special technical feature of a method of inhibiting a RTK in a mammal comprising administering an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist to said mammal.

Art Unit: 1642

However, Moulder et al (Cancer Research, 12/15/01, 61(24): 8887-95) teaches a method of inhibiting a RTK in a mammal comprising administering an extracellular RTK antagonist and an intracellular RTK antagonist to said mammal (Figure 8, in particular).

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of groups I-VIII does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Accordingly, groups I-VIII are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

## Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Claims 1-21 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species of "intracellular RTK antagonists" comprising the following: ZD1939 and OSI-774 Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Claims 1-21 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species of "extracellular RTK antagonists" comprising the following: ABX-EGF; EMD 72000; h-R3; and Y10. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all

Art Unit: 1642

the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E. Aeder, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-8787. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shanon Foley can be reached on 571-272-0898. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**SEA** 

SHANON FOLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600